arXiv:1609.06663v1 [math.RT] 21 Sep 2016

The Lawrence-Krammer representation is a quantization of the symmetric square of the Burau representation

A.V. Kosyak

Max-Planck-Institut für Mathematik, Vivatsgasse 7, D-53111 Bonn, Germany

Institute of Mathematics, Ukrainian National Academy of Sciences, 3 Tereshchenkivs'ka Str., Kyiv, 01601, Ukraine

Abstract

We show that the Lawrence–Krammer representation can be obtained as the quantization of the symmetric square of the Burau representation. This construction allows us to find new representations of the braid groups.

Keywords: braid group, Lawrence-Krammer representation, Burau represenrtation, symmetric tensor power, quantization, quantum group, $q-$Pascal triangle, knot invariant
2008 MSC: 22E65, (28C20, 43A80, 58D20)

Email address: kosyak02@gmail.com (A.V. Kosyak)

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Connection between the Lawrence-Krammer and the Burau representations **3**
2.1 The Braid group 3
2.2 The Burau representation 3
2.3 The Krammer representation of the braid group B_n 4
2.4 Construction of the braid group representations B_{n+1} via representations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ 4
2.5 Connection betwing the Krammer and the reduced Burau representation 5

3 Some polynomial invariants for knots **11**
3.1 Some polynomial invariants for the frefoil, see [3] and [24] . . . 11
3.2 The Burau representation and the Alexander polynomial . . . 11
3.3 The Krammer representation and the corresponding polynomial 12
3.4 Symmetric square of the reduced Burau representation and quatization 13
3.5 Alexander polynimial for the trefoil 13
3.6 Krammer representations and the trefoil 14

1. Introduction

In 1936 W. Burau introduced [10] the family of representations of the braid group B_n as the deformation of the *standard representation* of the symmetric group S_n. The Burau representation for $n = 2, 3$ has been known to be faithful for some time. Indeed, in 1969 Magnus and Peluso [18] showed that the Burau and *Gasner representations* are faithful for $n = 2, 3$. See also J. Birman [8, Theorem 3.15]. Moody [19] in 1991 showed that the Burau representation is not faithful for $n \geq 9$; this result was improved to $n \geq 6$ by Long and Paton in 1992, [17]. The non-faithfulness for $n = 5$ was shown by Bigelow in 1999, [4]. The faithfulness of the Burau representation when $n = 4$ is an *open problem*.

In 1990 R. Lawrence [16] have constructed two-parameter family of representation of the braid group B_n, using the homological methods. In 2000 D. Krammer [14] using completely algebraic methods have constructed the

same representations and showed that this representations is faithful for B_4. One year later in 2001 S. Bigelow showed that the Lawrence-Krammer representation is faithful for all B_n. Next year D. Krammer [15] proved the same result by a different method.

The Burau representation appears as a summand of the *Jones representation* [11], and for $n = 4$, the faithfulness of the Burau representation is equivalent to that of the Jones representation, which on the other hand is related to the question of whether or not the Jones polynomial is an *unknot detector* [7].

2. Connection between the Lawrence-Krammer and the Burau representations

2.1. The Braid group

The braid group B_n is defined by Artin, 1926 in [2] as follows:

$$B_n = \langle (\sigma_i)_{i=1}^{n-1}, \mid \sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}, \quad \sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_i\sigma_j, \quad \mid i-j \mid \geq 2\rangle. \quad (2.1)$$

2.2. The Burau representation

The Burau representation $\rho : B_n \mapsto \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$ is defined for a non-zero complex number t by

$$\sigma_i \mapsto \beta_i = I_{i-1} \oplus \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1-t & t \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \oplus I_{n-i-1}$$

where $1 - t$ is the (i, i) entry. For $t = 1$ this is the *standard representation* of the symmetric group S_n interchanging two neighbors basic elements in the space $\mathbb{C}^n$. The representation ρ splits into 1-dimensional and an $n-1-$dimensional irreducible representations, known as *reduced Burau representation* $\rho_n^{(t)} : B_n \mapsto \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$

$$\sigma_1 \mapsto b_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -t & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \oplus I_{n-3}, \quad \sigma_{n-1} \mapsto b_{n-1} = I_{n-3} \oplus \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -t \\ 0 & -t \end{smallmatrix}\right), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\sigma_i \mapsto b_i = I_{i-2} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -t & 0 \\ 0 & -t & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus I_{n-i-2}, \ 2 \leq i \leq n-2. \quad (2.3)$$

We use the following equivalent form of the reduced Burau representation $\rho_n^{(t)} : B_n \mapsto \mathrm{GL}_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}])$, compare with (2.2) and (2.3):

$$\sigma_1 \mapsto b_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -t & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) \oplus I_{n-3}, \quad \sigma_{n-1} \mapsto b_{n-1} = I_{n-3} \oplus \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & -t \end{smallmatrix}\right), \quad (2.4)$$

$$\sigma_i \mapsto b_i = I_{i-2} \oplus \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ t & -t & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \oplus I_{n-i-2}, \ 2 \leq i \leq n-2. \quad (2.5)$$

2.3. The Krammer representation of the braid group B_n

Let the *Krammer representation* $K_n^{(t,q)}$ be the following action of B_n on a free - module V of rank $n = \binom{n}{2}$ with basis $\{F_{i,j} : 1 \le i < j \le n\}$.

The Krammer representation introduced by Krammer in [14] is defined by Bigelov in [5] and after appropriate corrections in [6] as follows:

$$\sigma_i(F_{j,k}) = \begin{cases} F_{j,k}, & j \notin \{j-1, j, k-1, k\}, \\ qF_{i,k} + q(q-1)F_{i,j} + (1-q)F_{j,k}, & i = j-1, \\ F_{j+1,k}, & i = j \neq k-1, \\ qF_{j,k} + (1-q)F_{j,k} + q(1-q)tF_{i,k}, & i = k-1 \neq j, \\ F_{j,k+1}, & i = k, \\ -tq^2F_{j,k}, & i = j = k-1. \end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

From [6]: "This action is given in [14], except that Krammers $-t$ is my t. It is also in [5], but with a sign error. The name "Krammer representation" was chosen because Krammer seems to have initially found this independently of Lawrence and without any use of homology".

2.4. Construction of the braid group representations B_{n+1} via representations of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}l_n$

We can generalize the reduced Burau representation of B_n just observing (see [13]) that it is exp of the *natural or fundamental representations* of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}l_n$. To be more precise, we show that the reduced Burau representation for B_3

$$\sigma_1 \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \quad \sigma_2 \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -t & t \end{smallmatrix}\right), \tag{2.7}$$

can be rewritten as follows:

$$\sigma_1 \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \exp(E_{12})\exp(sE_{11}), \tag{2.8}$$

$$\sigma_2 \mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -t & t \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \exp(sE_{22})\exp(-E_{21}), \tag{2.9}$$

where E_{kn} are matrix unities and $s = \ln t$ for positive t.

For $n = 4$ the reduced Burau represenation is defined as follows:

$$\rho_4^{(t)} : B_4 \mapsto \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]),$$

$$\sigma_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ t & -t & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t & -t \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\sigma_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -t & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -t & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \exp(E_{12}) \exp(-sE_{11}),$$

$$\sigma_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ t & -t & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \exp(E_{23}) \exp(-sE_{22}) \exp(-E_{21}),$$

$$\sigma_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & t & -t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -t \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \exp(-sE_{33}) \exp(-E_{32}))$$

where $s = \ln t$ when $t > 0$. Finally, we get

$$\sigma_1 = \exp(X_1) \exp(-sE_{11}),\ \sigma_2 = \exp(X_2) \exp(-sE_{22}) \exp(-Y_1), \quad (2.11)$$

$$\sigma_3 = \exp(-sE_{33}) \exp(-Y_2). \quad (2.12)$$

In the general case, the formulas are similar, see (2.13). Below we give the Cartan matrix A corresponding to a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}l_n$ and "the rule to obtain" the right formulas, where $X_k = E_{kk+1}$ and $Y_k = E_{k+1k}$:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ & & & \dots & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} E_{11} & X_1 & & & & \\ -Y_1 & E_{22} & X_2 & & & \\ & -Y_2 & E_{33} & X_3 & & \\ & & -Y_3 & & & \\ & & & \dots & & \\ & & & & X_{n-2} & \\ & & & & E_{n-1n-1} & X_{n-1} \\ & & & & -Y_{n-1} & E_{nn} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\pi : \mathfrak{g}l_n \mapsto \mathrm{End}(V_n)$ be an arbitrary representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}l_n$ in the space V_n. Then the following formulas*

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho^{\pi}_{n+1}(\sigma_1) = \exp(\pi(X_1)) \exp(-s\pi(E_{11})), \\ &\rho^{\pi}_{n+1}(\sigma_k) \mapsto \exp(\pi(X_k)) \exp(-s\pi(E_{kk})) \exp(-\pi(Y_{k-1}), \\ &\rho^{\pi}_{n+1}(\sigma_n) \mapsto \exp(-s\pi(E_{nn})) \exp(\pi(-Y_{n-1})) \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

gives us the representation $\rho^{\pi}_{n+1} : B_{n+1} \mapsto \mathrm{GL}(V_n)$ of the braid group B_{n+1} in the space V_n. For π being the natural representation *of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s}l_n$ we get the Burau representation.*

Proof. It is sufficient to use the fact that formulas (2.2) and (2.3) define the representation of the group B_n. □

2.5. Connection betwing the Krammer and the reduced Burau representation

Theorem 2.2. *The Krammer representation is the quantization of the symmetric square of the reduced Burau representation:*

$$K^{(q,-t)}_n = S^2(\rho^{(t)}_n)_q. \quad (2.14)$$

PROOF. We use two evidence to prove the theorem:
1) compare dimensions of two representations,
2) compare the *specrtums* of two rpresentations.

We note that because of the braid relations (2.1) $\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}$ the spectrum of all generators are the same, i.e., for any representations π of the group B_n holds $\mathrm{Sp}\pi(\sigma_k) = \mathrm{Sp}\pi(\sigma_{k+1}),\ 1 \leq k \leq n-2$, that is why we can speak about the *spectrum of the representation*.

1) The dimension of the Burau representation $\rho_n^{(t)}$ equals to $n-1$. Therefore, its symmetric square by (2.17) has dimension $\binom{n}{2} = \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$, which coincides with the dimension of the Krammer representation $K_n^{(t,q)}$.

2) The spectrum of the reduced Burau representation is as follows: $\mathrm{Sp}\rho_n^{(t)}(\sigma_k) = \{-t, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{n-1 \text{ times}}\}$. The spectrum of its summetric square is:

$$\mathrm{Sp}S^2(\rho_n^{(t)}(\sigma_k)) = \{t^2, \underbrace{-t, \ldots, -t}_{n-2 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{(n-1)(n-2)/2 \text{ times}}\}. \tag{2.15}$$

In [21, Lemma 5] the following fact is proved

Lemma 2.3. *The spectra of the Krammer representation of the group B_n, i.e., $\mathrm{Sp}(\sigma_1^K)$ is as follows:*

$$\mathrm{Sp}(\sigma_1^K) = \{-tq^2, \underbrace{-q, \ldots, -q}_{n-2 \text{ times}}, \underbrace{1, \ldots, 1}_{(n-1)(n-2)/2 \text{ times}}\}. \tag{2.16}$$

If we set $t = -1$ and $q = t$ in (2.16) we get (2.15).

Remark 2.1. The same fact was mentioned in [20, p.38]: "Under the specialization $t = 1$ the Lawrence-Krammer module becomes the symmetric square of the Burau module".

We show more, i.e., that the *quantization* of the symmetric square of the Burau representation gives us the Krammer representation.

Remark 2.2. The folowing procedure of quantisation ("Quant") is based on the *quantization of the Pascale triangle* explained in [1] and [13], formulas (10) and (11). To be more precise we change the binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$ by *q-binomial coefficients* defined as follows: $\binom{n}{k}_q := \frac{(n)!_q}{(k)!_q(n-k)!_q}$, where *$q-$natural numbers* $(n)_q$, are defined by $(n)_q := \frac{q^n-1}{q-1}$, for complex number $q \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Let an operator A acts in a space V. Denote by $A\otimes A$ its tensor product in a space $V\otimes V$ and by $S^2(A)$ its *symmetric square*, i.e., the subspace $S^2(V)\subset V\otimes V$ generated by the *symmetric basis* $e^s_{k,r}$ defined as follows:

$$e^s_{k,k}=e_k\otimes e_k,\ 1\le k\le n,\quad e^s_{k,r}=e_k\otimes e_r+e_r\otimes e_k,\quad 1\le k<r\le n, \tag{2.17}$$

where $(e_k)_{k=1}^n$ is a basis in the space V (we use both notations $S^2=\mathrm{Symm}^2$).

Compare two representations of B_3. Using (2.8) and (2.9) we get for the Burau representation $\rho_3^{(-\lambda)}$:

$$\sigma_1\mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&1\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda&0\\0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)\overset{\mathrm{Symm}^2}{\mapsto}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&2&1\\0&1&1\\0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda^2&0&0\\0&\lambda&0\\0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)\overset{\mathrm{Quant}}{\mapsto}$$

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}Q&(1+Q)&1\\0&1&1\\0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda^2&0&0\\0&\lambda&0\\0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda^2Q&\lambda(1+Q)&1\\0&\lambda&1\\0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

Similarly, we get

$$\sigma_1\mapsto \left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\0&\lambda\end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0\\-1&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)\overset{\mathrm{Symm}^2}{\mapsto}\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&0\\0&\lambda&0\\0&0&\lambda^2\end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&0\\-1&1&0\\0&-2&1\end{smallmatrix}\right)\overset{\mathrm{Quant}}{\mapsto}$$

$$\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&0\\0&\lambda&0\\0&0&\lambda^2\end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&0\\-1&1&0\\1&-(1+Q)&Q\end{smallmatrix}\right)=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&0\\-\lambda&\lambda&0\\\lambda^2&-\lambda^2(1+Q)&\lambda^2Q\end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

$$\sigma_1\mapsto\sigma_1^B:=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda^2Q&\lambda(1+Q)&1\\0&\lambda&1\\0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right),\quad \sigma_1\mapsto\sigma_2^B:=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&0\\-\lambda&\lambda&0\\\lambda^2&-\lambda^2(1+Q)&\lambda^2Q\end{smallmatrix}\right). \tag{2.18}$$

The Krammer representation for B_3 is as follows:

$$\sigma_1\mapsto\sigma_1^K:=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}-tq^2&0&q(q-1)\\0&0&q\\0&1&1-q\end{smallmatrix}\right),\quad \sigma_2\mapsto\sigma_2^K:=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&q&0\\1&1-q&0\\0&tq(1-q)&-tq^2\end{smallmatrix}\right). \tag{2.19}$$

Remark 2.3. Using [23], see also [13, n7, n8], we conclude that all representation of B_3 in dimension 3 are given by the formulas:

$$\sigma_1^\Lambda(q,2)=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda_0q&(1+q)\lambda_1&\lambda_2\\0&\lambda_1&\lambda_2\\0&0&\lambda_2\end{smallmatrix}\right),\quad \sigma_2^\Lambda(q,2)=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda_2&0&0\\-\lambda_1&\lambda_1&0\\\lambda_0&-\lambda_0(1+q)&\lambda_0q\end{smallmatrix}\right), \tag{2.20}$$

where $\lambda_0\lambda_2=\lambda_1^2$. In particular, for $\Lambda=\mathrm{diag}(\lambda^2,\lambda,1)$ and $q=Q$ we get

$$\sigma_1^B=\sigma_1^\Lambda(Q,2)=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}1&0&0\\-\lambda&\lambda&0\\\lambda^2&-\lambda^2(1+Q)&\lambda^2Q\end{smallmatrix}\right),\ \sigma_2^B=\sigma_2^\Lambda(Q,2)=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}\lambda^2Q&\lambda(1+Q)&1\\0&\lambda&1\\0&0&1\end{smallmatrix}\right). \tag{2.21}$$

To compare the formulas (2.18) and (2.19) we calculate the eigenvalues ν_k of σ_1^K

$$\det(\sigma_1^K - \nu I) = \begin{vmatrix} -tq^2-\nu & 0 & q(q-1) \\ 0 & -\nu & q \\ 0 & 1 & 1-q-\nu \end{vmatrix} = (-tq^2-\nu) \begin{vmatrix} -\nu & q \\ 1 & 1-q-\nu \end{vmatrix} =$$

$$(-tq^2-\nu)(\nu^2-(1-q)\nu-q) = 0.$$

Hence, the eigenvalues of σ_1^K are the following: $\nu_0 = -tq^2,\ \nu_1 = -q,\ \nu_2 = 1$, i.e., $\mathrm{Sp}(\sigma_1^K) = \{-tq^2, -q, 1\}$, compare with (2.16). Respectively, the eigenvalues of σ_1^B are $\nu_0 = \lambda^2 Q,\ \nu_1 = \lambda,\ \nu_2 = 1$. Finally, we get

$$\mathrm{Sp}(\sigma_1^K) = \{-tq^2, -q, 1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{Sp}(\sigma_1^B) = \{\lambda^2 Q, \lambda, 1\}.$$

Representations (2.18) and (2.19) are equivalent if and only if $\mathrm{Sp}(\sigma_1^K) = \mathrm{Sp}(\sigma_1^B)$, i.e., when

$$\lambda^2 Q = -tq^2,\ \lambda = -q \quad \text{or} \quad q = -\lambda,\ t = -Q. \tag{2.22}$$

Finally, representations given by (2.19) and (2.20) are equivalent, i.e.,

$$C_3^{-1}\sigma_1^B C_3 = \sigma_1^K, \quad C_3^{-1}\sigma_2^B C_3 = \sigma_2^K \tag{2.23}$$

for an appropriate $C_3 \in \mathrm{Mat}(3, \mathbb{C})$.

We calculate explicitely C_3 and prove the second formula in (2.23).

Remark 2.4. Let $A \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and λ_k, e_k, $1 \le k \le n$, be its eigenvalues and eigenvectors, i.e., $Ae_k = \lambda_k e_k$. Denote by $e(A) \in \mathrm{Mat}(n, \mathbb{C})$ the matrix which columns are eigenvectors of the matrix A, i.e., $e(A) = (e_k^t)_{k=1}^n$, then we get

$$Ae(A) = e(A)\Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad (e(A))^{-1} A e(A) = \Lambda, \tag{2.24}$$

when $e(A)$ is invertible.

Let $e(B)$ and $e(K)$ be matrices which columns are eigenvectors of the matrix σ_1^B and σ_1^K respectively defined by (2.18) and (2.19) corresponding to the eigenvalues $(\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (\lambda^2 Q, \lambda, 1)$ of the matix $\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda^2 Q, \lambda, 1)$. By definition we have

$$\sigma_1^B e(B) = e(B)\Lambda, \quad \sigma_1^K e(K) = e(K)\Lambda. \tag{2.25}$$

Then we get

$$e^{-1}(B)\sigma_1^B e(B) = \Lambda = e^{-1}(K)\sigma_1^K e(K) \ \text{ therefore, } \ C_3^{-1}\sigma_1^B C_3 = \sigma_1^K, \tag{2.26}$$

where

$$C_3 = e(B)e^{-1}(K). \tag{2.27}$$

We can verify that

$$e(B) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1+Q & 1+\lambda Q \\ 0 & 1-\lambda Q & 1-\lambda^2 Q \\ 0 & 0 & (1-\lambda)(1-\lambda^2 Q) \end{pmatrix}, \quad e(K) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1-q & q(q-1) \\ 0 & 1-tq & (1+tq^2)q \\ 0 & tq-1 & 1+tq^2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.28}$$

$$e^{-1}(B) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\frac{1+Q}{1-\lambda Q} & \frac{Q(1+\lambda)}{(1-\lambda Q)(1-\lambda^2 Q)} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{1-\lambda Q} & -\frac{1}{(1-\lambda)(1-\lambda Q)} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{(1-\lambda)(1-\lambda^2 Q)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad e^{-1}(K) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1-q}{(1-tq)(1+tq^2)} & \frac{tq^2(q-1)}{(1-tq)(1+tq^2)} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{(1+q)(1-tq)} & -\frac{q}{(1+q)(1+tq^2)} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{(1+q)(1+tq^2)} & \frac{1}{(1+q)(1+tq^2)} \end{pmatrix},$$

and $C_3^{-1}\sigma_2^B C_3 = \sigma_2^K$.

The symmetric square of the reduced Burau representation $\rho_4^{(-\lambda)}$ for B_4 is as follows (see (2.10) and(2.11)):

$$S^2(\sigma_1) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1&2&1&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1&1&0\\0&0&0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2&0&0&0&0&0\\0&\lambda&0&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&\lambda&0&0\\0&0&0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2&2\lambda&1&0&0&0\\0&\lambda&1&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&\lambda&1&0\\0&0&0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S^2(\sigma_2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0&2&1\\0&0&0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&0&1&1\\0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&\lambda&0&0&0&0\\0&0&\lambda^2&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&0&\lambda&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\-1&1&0&0&0&0\\1&-2&1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&-1&1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\-\lambda&\lambda&0&\lambda&0&0\\\lambda^2&-2\lambda^2&\lambda^2&-2\lambda&2\lambda&1\\0&0&0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&-\lambda&\lambda&1\\0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S^2(\sigma_3) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&\lambda&0&0\\0&0&0&0&\lambda&0\\0&0&0&0&0&\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&-1&0&1&0&0\\0&0&-1&0&1&0\\0&0&1&0&-2&1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&-\lambda&0&\lambda&0&0\\0&0&-\lambda&0&\lambda&0\\0&0&\lambda^2&0&-2\lambda^2&\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using Remark 2.2 we get

$$S^2(\sigma_1) \overset{\text{Quant}}{\mapsto} \begin{pmatrix} Q&1+Q&1&0&0&0\\0&1&1&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1&1&0\\0&0&0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2&0&0&0&0&0\\0&\lambda&0&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&\lambda&0&0\\0&0&0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2 Q&\lambda(1+Q)&1&0&0&0\\0&\lambda&1&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&\lambda&1&0\\0&0&0&0&1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$S^2(\sigma_3) \overset{\text{Quant}}{\mapsto} \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&0&0&\lambda&0&0\\0&0&0&0&\lambda&0\\0&0&0&0&0&\lambda^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&-1&0&1&0&0\\0&0&-1&0&1&0\\0&0&1&0&-2&1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&0&0&0\\0&0&1&0&0&0\\0&-\lambda&0&\lambda&0&0\\0&0&-\lambda&0&\lambda&0\\0&0&\lambda^2&0&-\lambda^2(1+Q)&\lambda^2 Q \end{pmatrix}.$$

In what follows we should be more attentive and use Q on the diagonal only in the third factors, to be shure that the spectrum of the image of σ_2 is correct, i.e., $\mathrm{Sp}(\sigma_2^B) = \{\lambda^2 Q, \lambda, \lambda, 1, 1, 1\}$:

$$S^2(\sigma_2) \overset{\text{Quant}}{\mapsto} \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&1&0&1&0&0\\0&0&1&0&(1+Q)&1\\0&0&0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&0&1&1\\0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\0&\lambda&0&0&0&0\\0&0&\lambda^2&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&0&\lambda&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\-1&1&0&0&0&0\\1&-(1+Q)&Q&0&0&0\\0&0&0&1&0&0\\0&0&0&-1&1&0\\0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0&(1+Q)&1\\ 0&0&0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&1&1\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\ -\lambda&\lambda&0&0&0&0\\ \lambda^2&-\lambda^2(1+Q)&\lambda^2Q&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&0&-\lambda&\lambda&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\ -\lambda&\lambda&0&1&0&0\\ \lambda^2&-\lambda^2(1+Q)&\lambda^2Q&-\lambda(1+Q)&\lambda(1+Q)&1\\ 0&0&0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&0&-\lambda&\lambda&1\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix},$$

Finally, we get

$$\sigma_1 \overset{S^2_Q(\mathrm{Bur})}{\mapsto} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^2Q&\lambda(1+Q)&1&0&0&0\\ 0&\lambda&1&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&\lambda&1&0\\ 0&0&0&0&1&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 \overset{S^2_Q(\mathrm{Bur})}{\mapsto} \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0&0&0\\ 0&-\lambda&0&\lambda&0&0\\ 0&0&-\lambda&0&\lambda&0\\ 0&0&\lambda^2&0&-\lambda^2(1+Q)&\lambda^2Q \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.29}$$

$$\sigma_2 \overset{S^2_Q(\mathrm{Bur})}{\mapsto} \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\ -\lambda&\lambda&0&1&0&0\\ \lambda^2&-\lambda^2(1+Q)&\lambda^2Q&-\lambda(1+Q)&\lambda(1+Q)&1\\ 0&0&0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&0&-\lambda&\lambda&1\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.30}$$

The Krammer representation for B_4 is given by the following formulas:

$$\sigma_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -tq^2&0&q(q-1)&0&q(q-1)&0\\ 0&0&q&0&0&0\\ 0&1&1-q&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&q&0\\ 0&0&0&1&1-q&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 0&q&0&0&0&0\\ 1&1-q&0&0&0&0\\ 0&q(1-q)t&-tq^2&0&q(q-1)&\\ 0&0&0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&0&q\\ 0&0&0&0&1&1-q \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.31}$$

$$\sigma_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0&0&0\\ 0&0&0&q&0&0\\ 0&0&0&0&q&0\\ 0&1&0&1-q&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0&1-q&0\\ 0&0&0&q(1-q)t&q(1-q)t&-tq^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.32}$$

If we compare the spectrum of σ_1 in (2.29) and (2.31) we get:

$$\{\lambda^2Q, \lambda, \lambda, 1, 1, 1\} = \mathrm{Sp}(\sigma_1) = \{-tq^2, -q, -q, 1, 1, 1\}.$$

Using Remark 2.4, we can find $e(B)$ and $e(K)$ such that

$$e^{-1}(B)\sigma_1^B e(B) = \Lambda = e^{-1}(K)\sigma_1^K e(K). \tag{2.33}$$

Define $C_4 = e(B)e^{-1}(K)$ and prove that

$$C_4^{-1}\sigma_r^B C_4 = \sigma_r^K \quad \text{for} \quad r = 2, 3. \tag{2.34}$$

For $n = 5$ the reduced Burau represenation is defined as follows:

$$\rho_4^{(t)} : B_5 \mapsto \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}[t, t^{-1}]),$$

$$\sigma_1 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} -t&1&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0\\ 0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_2 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0\\ t&-t&1&0\\ 0&0&1&0\\ 0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_3 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&t&-t&1\\ 0&0&0&1 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_4 \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1&0&0&0\\ 0&1&0&0\\ 0&0&1&0\\ 0&0&t&-t \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we set $s = \ln t$ for $t > 0$, we get

$$\sigma_1 \mapsto \exp(X_1)\exp(-sE_{11}),\ \ \sigma_2 \mapsto \exp(X_2)\exp(-sE_{22})\exp(-Y_1), \quad (2.35)$$
$$\sigma_3 \mapsto \exp(X_3)\exp(-sE_{33})\exp(-Y_2),\ \ \sigma_3 \mapsto \exp(-sE_{44})\exp(-Y_3). \quad (2.36)$$

Using Theorem 2.1, formulas (2.13), symmetric square of the natural representations of the Lie algebras $\mathfrak{sl}_{n-1}$ and the quatization, as it was explained before, we obtain the generals formulas for B_n. □

Remark 2.5. See [9, n 4.6, p.71] for more details about connections between the Lawrence-Krammer and other representations of braid groups.

3. Some polynomial invariants for knots

3.1. Some polynomial invariants for the frefoil, see [3] *and* [24]

The Alexander polynomial $\Delta(x)$, BLM/Ho polynomial $Q(x)$, Conway polynomial $\nabla(x)$, HOMFLY polynomial $P(l,m)$ Jones polynomial $V(t)$, and Kauffman polynomial $F(a,z)$ of the trefoil knot are

$$\Delta(x) = x - 1 + x^{-1}, \quad (3.1)$$
$$Q(x) = 2x^2 + 2x - 3, \quad (3.2)$$
$$\nabla(x) = x^2 + 1, \quad (3.3)$$
$$P(l,m) = -l^4 + m^2l^2 - 2l^2, \quad (3.4)$$
$$V(t)_{3_1} = t + t^3 - t^4,\ V(t)_{3_2} = t^{-1} + t^{-3} - t^{-4}, \quad (3.5)$$
$$F(a,z) = -a^4 - 2a^2 + (a^4 + a^2)z^2 + (a^5 + a^3)z. \quad (3.6)$$

3.2. The Burau representation and the Alexander polynomial

Let K be some link, by *Alexander's theorem* this link can be obtained as the closure of some braid $X \in B_n$, notation $K = Cl(X)$. The *Alexander polynomial* $\Delta_K(t)$ can be obtained using the reduced Burau representation by the following formula, [9, (4.3), p.64]:

$$\Delta_{Cl(X)}(t) = \frac{(1-t)\det(\rho_n^{(t)}(X) - I_{n-1})}{1-t^n} = \frac{\det(\rho_n^{(t)}(X) - I_{n-1})}{\det(\rho_n^{(t)}(\sigma_1 \ldots \sigma_{n-1}) - I_{n-1})}. \quad (3.7)$$

3.3. The Krammer representation and the corresponding polynomial

Definition 3.1. By analogy with the definition of the Alexander polynomial we can introduce the following polynomial $K(t,q)$ connected with the Krammer representation

$$K_{Cl(X)}(t,q) = \frac{\det(K_n^{(t,q)}(X) - I_{n(n-1)/2})}{\det(K_n^{(t,q)}(\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{n-1}) - I_{n(n-1)/2})}. \tag{3.8}$$

Theorem 3.1. *The polynomial $K_{Cl(X)}(t,q)$ defined by (3.8) is the knot invariant.*

Proof. It is sufficient to verify (see, e.g., [12, 2.5. Markov's theorem, p.67]) that the polynomial $K_{Cl(X)}(t,q)$ respect the *first and the second Markov moves*, i.e., for any $X \in B_n$ the following properties hold:

$$K_{Cl(wXw^{-1})}(t,q) = K_{Cl(X)}(t,q) \quad \text{for all} \quad w \in B_n, \tag{3.9}$$

$$K_{Cl(X\sigma_n^{\pm 1})}(t,q) = K_{Cl(X)}(t,q). \tag{3.10}$$

Indeed, by definition we get, since $\det(ABC) = \det(CAB)$

$$\det\big(K_n^{(t,q)}(wXw^{-1}) - I_{n(n-1)/2}\big) = \det\big(K_n^{(t,q)}(wXw^{-1}) - K_n^{(t,q)}(ww^{-1})\big)$$

$$= \det\Big(K_n^{(t,q)}(w)\big(K_n^{(t,q)}(X) - I_{n(n-1)/2}\big)K_n^{(t,q)}(w)^{-1}\Big)$$

$$= \det(K_n^{(t,q)}(X) - I_{n(n-1)/2}),$$

that proves (3.9).

The proof of (3.10) should be similar to the proof that the Alexander polynomial respect the second Markov move: $\Delta_{Cl(X\sigma_n^{\pm 1})}(t) = \Delta_{Cl(X)}(t)$. $\square$

3.4. Symmetric square of the reduced Burau representation and quatization

The reduced Burau representation $\rho_3^{(t)}$ for B_3 we take as follows:

$$b_1 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -t & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \quad b_2 = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -t \\ 0 & -t \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -t \end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

The symmetric powers $b_i \otimes b_i \mid_S,\ i = 1, 2$ in the symmetric basis e_{11}^s, e_{12}^s, e_{22}^s defined by (2.17) in the space $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ are as follows:

$$b_1 \otimes b_1 \mid_S = \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & 0 & 0 \\ t & -t & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$b_2 \otimes b_2 \mid_S = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -2t & t^2 \\ 0 & -t & t^2 \\ 0 & 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The q-version are as follows, we replace $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right) = 2$ by $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 2 \\ 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)_q = (2)_q = \frac{q^2-1}{q-1} = 1+q$:

$$\sigma_1^t(q,2) = \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & -(1+q) & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t^2 & 0 & 0 \\ t & -t & 0 \\ 1 & -(1+q) & q \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.11}$$

$$\sigma_2^t(q,2) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1+q & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} q & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -t & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} q & -t(1+q) & t^2 \\ 0 & -t & t^2 \\ 0 & 0 & t^2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.12}$$

3.5. Alexander polynimial for the trefoil

To calcultate the Alexander polynimial for the trefoil knot $3_1 = Cl(\sigma_1^3) = Cl(\sigma_1^3\sigma_2)$ we get for the representation $\rho_2^{(t)}(\sigma_1) = -t$ of the group B_2

$$\Delta_{3_1}(t) = \frac{\det\left(\rho_2^{(t)}(\sigma_1^3) - I_1\right)}{\det\left(\rho_2^{(t)}(\sigma_1) - I_1\right)} = \frac{-t^3-1}{-(t+1)} = (t^2 - t + 1). \tag{3.13}$$

The second Markov move (see (3.10)). For the Burau representation $\rho_3^{(t)}$ of the group B_3

$$\rho_3^{(t)}(\sigma_1) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -t & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right), \quad \rho_3^{(t)}(\sigma_2) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -t \\ 0 & -t \end{smallmatrix}\right)$$

we have

$$\rho_3^{(t)}(\sigma_1^3\sigma_2) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -t & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)^3\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -t \\ 0 & -t \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -t^3 & 0 \\ -t^2+t-1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & -t \\ 0 & -t \end{smallmatrix}\right) = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} -t^3 & t^4 \\ -t^2+t-1 & t^3-t^2 \end{smallmatrix}\right).$$

Hence,

$$\det\left(\rho_3^{(t)}(\sigma_1^3\sigma_2) - I_2\right) = \det\left(\begin{smallmatrix} -t^3 & t^4 \\ -t^2+t-1 & t^3-t^2 \end{smallmatrix}\right) =$$

$$-(t^3+1)(t^3-t^2-1) + t^4(t^2-t+1) = t^4 + t^2 + 1$$

and we obtaine the same result as in (3.13):

$$\Delta_{3_1}(t) = \frac{\det\left(\rho_3^{(t)}(\sigma_1^3\sigma_2) - I_2\right)}{\det\left(\rho_3^{(t)}(\sigma_1\sigma_2) - I_2\right)} = \frac{t^4+t^2+1}{t^2+t+1} = t^2 - t + 1.$$

3.6. Krammer representations and the trefoil

We can calculate the polynomial $K(t,q)$ for the trefoil knot using Theorem 2.2. Indeed, we have (see (3.11))

$$\det\bigl(\sigma_1^t(2,q)\sigma_2^t(2,q)-I_3\bigr)=\begin{vmatrix} t^2q-1 & -t^3(1+q) & t^4\\ tq & -t^2q-1 & 0\\ q & 0 & -1\end{vmatrix}=t^6q^2-1,$$

$$\det\bigl(\sigma_1^t(2,q)^3\sigma_2^t(2,q)-I_3\bigr)=t^{12}q^4-1,$$

hence, by (2.14) we get

$$K_{3_1}(q,t)=t^6q^2+1\quad\text{or}\quad K_{3_1}(t,q)=t^2q^6+1. \tag{3.14}$$

Indeed, we have

$$K_{3_1}(q,t)=(t^{12}q^4-1)(t^6q^2-1)^{-1}=t^6q^2+1.$$

For $t=i$ we get as a factor the *Alexander polynomial* $\Delta(q)=q^2-q+1$:

$$K_{3_1}(i,q)=-q^6+1=-(q+1)(q-1)(q^2+q+1)(q^2-q+1),$$

for $q=1$ we get the *Conway polynomial*:

$$K_{3_1}(t,1)=t^2+1=\nabla(t).$$

To calculate $K_{3_2}(t,q)$ for the mirror image of the trefoil $3_2=Cl(\sigma_1^{-3}\sigma_2)$, for first simple knots 4_1, 5_1, 5_2 and to compare with the known polynomials.

Open problem: Whether the reduced Burau representation for B_4 $\rho_4^{(t)}:B_4\mapsto \mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{Z}[t,t^{-1}])$ is *faithful*:

$$b_1=\begin{pmatrix}-t&0&0\\-1&1&0\\0&0&1\end{pmatrix},\ b_2=\begin{pmatrix}1&-t&0\\0&-t&0\\0&-1&1\end{pmatrix},\ b_3=\begin{pmatrix}1&0&0\\0&1&-t\\0&0&-t\end{pmatrix}? \tag{3.15}$$

Acknowledgements. The authors expresses his deep gratitude to the Max-Planck-Institute of Mathematics for the financial grant and the hospitality in 2016.

References

[1] S. Albeverio, A. Kosyak, $q-$Pascal's triangle and irreducible representations of the braid group B_3 in arbitrary dimension, arXiv:math.QA(RT)/0803.2778v2.

[2] E. Artin, Theorie des Zöpfe, Abh. Math. Sem. Hamburg. Univ 4 (1926) 47–72.

[3] D. Bar-Natan and S. Morrison, and et al., The *K*not *A*tlas, http://katlas.org

[4] S. Bigelow, The Burrau representation of the braid group B_n is not faithful for n=5, Geometry and Topology 3 (1999), 397–404.

[5] S. Bigelow, Braid groups are linear, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14 No 2, (2001), 471–486.

[6] S. Bigelow, The Lawrence-Krammer representation. arXiv:math.GT/ 0204057.

[7] S. Bigelow, Does the Jones polynomial detect the unknot?, Journal of Knot Theory and Its Ramifications. **11** (4) (2002) 493-505.

[8] J.S Birman, Braids, links and mapping class groups, Ann. Math. Studies, 82, Princeton Univ. Press, 1974.

[9] J.S Birman and T.E Brendel, Braids: A survey. In Handbook of Knot Theory (Ed. W. Menasko and T. Thistlethwaite), Elsevier, 2005.

[10] W. Burau, ber Zopfgruppen und gleichsinnig verdrillte Verkettungen. Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg. **11** (1936) 179-186.

[11] V. F. R. Jones, Hecke algebra representations of braid groups and link polynomials, Annals of Math. 126 (1987) 335–388.

[12] C. Kassel, V. Turaev, Braid groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 247, Springer, New York, 2008 (340 p. + xii).

[13] A.V. Kosyak, Representations of the braid group B_n and the highest weight modules of $U(\mathfrak{sl}_{n-1})$ and $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_{n-1})$, arXiv:math.QA(RT)/0803. 2785v2.

[14] D. Krammer, The braid group B4 is linear, Invent. Math. 142 (2000), no. 3, 451-486.

[15] D. Krammer, Braid groups are linear, Ann. of Math. (2) 155 No. 1, (2002), 131-156.

[16] R. Lawrence, Homological Representations of the Hecke Algebra, Commun. Math. Phys. 135 (1990) 141–191.

[17] D. Long and M. Paton, The Burrau representation of the braid group B_n is not faithful for $n \geq 6$, Topology 32 (1993), 439–447.

[18] W. Magnus and A. Peluso, On a theorem of V.I. Arnold, Comm Pure Appl. Math, 22 (1969) 683–692.

[19] J. Moody, The Burrau representation of the braid group B_n is not faithful for large n, Bull. Math. Soc. 25 (1991), 379–384.

[20] R. Smeltzer,Linear representations of braid groups. A thesis for the degree of the master of sciences, McMaster University, July 2003. 65 p. http://ms.mcmaster.ca/∼boden/students/Smeltzer-MSc.pdf

[21] A. Stoimenov, The density of Lowrence–Krammer and non-conjugate braid representation of links, arXiv:math.GR/ 0809.0033 v2.

[22] I. Tuba, Low-dimensional unitary representations of B_3, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 129 (2001) 2597–2606.

[23] I. Tuba, H. Wenzl, Representations of the braid group B_3 and of $\mathrm{SL}(2,\mathbb{Z})$, Pacific J. Math. 197, No.2 (2001) 491–510.

[24] E.W. Weisstein, Trefoil Knot. From MathWorld–A Wolfram Web Resource. http://mathworld.wolfram.com/TrefoilKnot.html